

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/847,472	05/02/2001	Russell E. Stubbings	39130-1006	6902	
7:	590 11/13/2002				
Mitchell P. Brook LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS 11988 EL CAMINO REAL SUITE 200 SAN DIEGO, CA 92130			EXAMINER		
			MORROW, JASON S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3612		
				DATE MAILED: 11/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Addison O	09/847,472	STUBBINGS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason S. Morrow	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-21 and 23-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>2 and 22</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 May 2001</u> is/are: a)[] accepted or b) $igtiee$ objected to by th	ne Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•					
a) The translation of the foreign language prov						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 6/26/02 has been approved.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the shelf being captured between the vehicle interior and one or more vehicle components selected from the group consisting of headliners, overhead consoles, window trim, and window moldings must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 13 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 13, the anti-skid surface is selected from a group, which includes an "anti-skid" surface. It is unclear what is being claimed by the wording.

Claim 31 recites that the shelf is captured between the vehicle interior and one or more vehicle components selected from the group consisting of headliners, overhead

Art Unit: 3612

consoles, window trim, and window moldings. This seems inconsistent with the drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, 5-9, 11, 14, 17, 18, 21, 23-30, and 32, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by French Patent Number 2366147 (Peugeot).

Re claim 1, Peugeot discloses a shelf for a vehicle interior, comprising a front section including a recess for a visor (figure 1), an elevated section (figure 3) structured to couple to the vehicle interior, and a rear section (see figure 3, the part of 7 to the left of the bolts)configured to contact the vehicle interior.

Re claim 3, the front section comprises a lower surface and an upper surface, with the lower surface configured to receive a visor, and the upper surface configured to receive one or more objects (10).

Re claim 5, a wall element (the front lip on the right hand side of the drawing) extends from at least one of the front section or the elevated section.

Re claim 6, the wall element extends along an edge of the elevated section and around the front section (see figure 2).

Re claim 7, the wall element is configured to engage a surface of the vehicle interior (see figures 2 and 3).

Re claim 8, the elevated section is configured to engage a surface of the vehicle interior (see figures 3).

Re claim 9, the elevated section comprises at least one aperture (through which 6 passes) configured to receive a visor fastener.

Re claim 11, the rear section comprises an edge configured to contact a surface of the vehicle interior.

Re claim 14, the shelf includes an electrical power source (12) coupled to the shelf.

Re claim 17, the shelf is configured for installation in a vehicle selected from the group consisting of passenger vehicles, trucks, pickup trucks, recreational vehicles, sportutility vehicles, vans, buses and semi-trailer vehicles.

Re claim 18, Peugeot et al. discloses a shelf for a vehicle interior, comprising a first section comprising a lower surface and an upper surface, the lower surface configured to receive a visor (figure 1), with the upper surface configured to receive one or more objects, and a second section structured to receive a visor fastener (figure 3), the visor fastener coupling the shelf to the vehicle interior.

Re claim 21, a third section (the left hand part of 7 shown in figure 3 extending past the fasteners) extends from the second section and is structured to contact a surface of the vehicle interior.

Re claim 23, a lower surface of the first section includes a recess for receiving the visor (see figure 1).

Re claim 24, the second section comprises an elongated pocket for receiving a visor mount comprising a visor fastener and a visor base (see figure 1).

Art Unit: 3612

Re claim 25, Peugeot discloses a shelf for a vehicle interior comprising an elevated section comprising at least one attachment aperture (through which 6 passes) configured to receive a fastener for mounting the shelf to the vehicle interior, a front section (8) configured to receive one or more objects, with a weight of the objects creating a moment about the fastener, and a rear section (the left hand portion of 7 extending past the fastener 6) configured to contact a vehicle surface, thereby opposing the weight, and creating a counter-moment. Note that the moment and counter-moment are inherent to the construction of the prior art shown by Peugeot.

Re claim 26, the moment comprises a torque about the fastener and the rear section opposes the moment by exerting a force against the vehicle surface.

Re claim 27, the elevated section comprises a second attachment aperture configured to receive a second fastener (see figure 1).

Re claim 28, the fastener is a bolt (6).

Re claim 29, Peugeot discloses a method of attaching a shelf to a vehicle interior, the method comprising the steps of providing a shelf with at least one attachment aperture (through which 6 passes) located in an interior area of the shelf, attaching the shelf to the vehicle interior by placing a fastener through the attachment aperture, positioning a rear section of the shelf (the left hand portion of 7 extending past 6 in figure 3) against the vehicle interior so that a weight placed on the shelf is resisted by the rear section contacting the vehicle interior.

Re claim 32, the weight, creates a moment about the attachment aperture, and the rear section resists the moment by contacting the vehicle interior.

Art Unit: 3612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French Patent Number 2366147 (Peugeot) in view of Calkins.

Re claim 12, Peugeot discloses all the limitations of the claim, as applied above, except for the shelf including an anti-skid surface.

Calkins teaches the use of anti-skid surfaces for shelving (column 2, lines 28 and 29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a shelf, such as that above, to include an anti-skid surface, as is old and well known in the art, in order to provide a known improvement to a shelf and assist in keeping an article carried by the shelf in place during movement of the vehicle.

9. Claim 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French Patent Number 2366147 (Peugeot) in view of the Dodge Brochure (Dodge).

Re claim 15 and 16, Peugeot discloses all the limitations of the claims, as applied above, except for the shelf having a surface texture and color matched to the vehicle interior.

Dodge teaches interior accessories mounted to the roof of a vehicle interior having texture and color matched to the vehicle. Note that although the copy supplied to

Art Unit: 3612

applicant is in black and white, the original is a color picture showing visors, roof, dash, and door panels matching in both color and textures.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a vehicle shelf, such as that above, to have a texture and color matched to the vehicle interior, as is old and well known in the art, in order to construct a vehicle that is desirable to the purchaser of a vehicle.

10. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French Patent Number 2366147 (Peugeot) in view of Gilson.

Re claim 4, Peugeot discloses all the limitations of the claim, as applied above, except for a net coupled to the front section.

Gilson teaches the use of a net (22) to restrain cargo.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify a visor, such as that above, to include a net coupled to a front section, as taught by Gilson, in order to retrain cargo carried by the shelf and prevent it from moving rearward during hard acceleration of the vehicle.

11. Claims 10, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French Patent Number 2366147 (Peugeot) in view of German Publication Number 2515397 (Kriesche).

Peugeot discloses all the limitations of the claims, as applied above, except for the elevated section including an aperture structured to receive a visor clip.

Kriesche teaches the use of an aperture structured to receive a visor clip (figure 1).

Art Unit: 3612

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify an elevated section of a shelf, such as that disclosed by McCloy II et al., to include an aperture structured to receive a visor clip, as taught by Kriesche, in order to provide a more secure mounting for the shelf.

12. Claims 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French Patent Number 2366147 (Peugeot) in view of JC Whitney (JC).

Peugeot discloses all the limitations of the claim, as applied above, except for reattaching the visor to the vehicle interior so that the shelf is captured between the vehicle interior and the shelf and one or more vehicle components selected from the group consisting of headliners, overhead consoles, window trim, and window moldings.

JC teaches a shelf capturing between the vehicle interior and the shelf a headliner (see the second page).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a console, such as that above, to re-attach the visor to the vehicle interior so that the shelf captures between the vehicle interior and the shelf and one or more vehicle components selected from the group consisting of headliners, overhead consoles, window trim, and window moldings, as taught by JC, in order to easily install the shelf without making modifications to the vehicle headliner.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 2 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3612

Conclusion

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Viertel et al., Marcus, Trucking Times, Stylinconcepts, and Baldas et al. all disclose sun visor structures.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason S. Morrow whose telephone number is (703) 305-7803. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00a.m.-4:30p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 3612

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Jason S. Morrow

Examiner

Art Unit 3612

jsm November 7, 2002

JASON MORROW PATENT EXAMINER

D. GLENN DAYOAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600